Virus invasion triggers host immune responses, in particular, innate immune responses. Pathogen-associated molecular patterns of viruses (such as dsRNA, ssRNA, or viral proteins) released during virus replication are detected by the corresponding pattern-recognition receptors of the host, and innate immune responses are induced. Through production of type-I and type-III interferons as well as various other cytokines, the host innate immune system forms the frontline to protect host cells and inhibit virus infection. Not surprisingly, viruses have evolved diverse strategies to counter this antiviral system. In this review, we discuss the multiple strategies used by proteases of positive-sense single-stranded RNA viruses of the families Picornaviridae, Coronaviridae, and Flaviviridae, when counteracting host innate immune responses.
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Proteases of positive-sense single-stranded RNA ((+)-ssRNA) viruses

Since about two decades, emerging and re-emerging viruses have caused an increasing number of disease outbreaks in humans. In particular, emerging RNA viruses pose great threats to public health, for example, Ebola virus, Zika virus (ZIKV), and Middle-East respiratory syndrome coronavirus (MERS-CoV). The latter two are positive-sense single-stranded RNA ((+) ssRNA) viruses. We will briefly introduce the proteases of three (+)-ssRNA virus families here, namely Picornaviridae, Coronaviridae, and Flaviviridae. This will be followed by a description of the complex signaling pathways that lead from recognition of the viral intruders to the production of antiviral cytokines, such as type-I and type-III interferons (IFNs). Finally, we will discuss the mechanisms by which the viral proteases interfere with these signaling pathways.

The Picornaviridae family includes a large number of small nonenveloped (+)-ssRNA viruses with a genome size between 7.5 and 10 kb. As of March 2017, this family contains 35 genera with 80 species [1]. The genera Enterovirus, Hepatovirus, Aphthovirus, and Cardiovirus have been well investigated. Picornaviruses can cause several severe diseases in man and animals, such as poliomyelitis, hepatitis, and encephalitis. The viral genome usually encodes a polyprotein comprising regions P1, P2, and P3 (Fig. 1A) [2,3]. The P1 region includes the structural proteins, while the latter two comprise nonstructural proteins, including the enzymes required for polyprotein processing and RNA replication. P1, P2, and P3 are further cleaved by viral proteases into mature proteins. P1 is digested to 1A (also known as VP4), 1B (VP2), 1C (VP3), and 1D (VP1); P2 is processed to 2A, 2B, and 2C; whereas P3 becomes 3A, 3B, 3C, and 3D [2,3]. Picornaviruses encode up to three proteases, the 2A protease (2A(pro)), the 3C protease (3C(pro)), and – in case of some family members (e.g. the genera Aphthovirus and Cardiovirus) – the leader protease (L(pro)) (Fig. 1A) [4].
Fig. 1. (A) Genome organization of picornaviruses, coronaviruses, and flaviviruses. All structural and accessory proteins are shown in blue. Nonstructural proteins are shown in green, with the exception of proteases, which are shown in black. Picornaviridae: The 5’ end of the picornavirus genomic RNA is covalently bound to VPg (viral protein genome-linked). The genome encodes a polyprotein comprising the three regions P1 (structural proteins), P2, and P3 (nonstructural proteins). Generally, two viral proteases, 2Apro and 3Cpro, cleave the polyprotein into mature proteins. P1 is processed to yield 1A (VP4), 1B (VP2), 1C (VP3), and 1D (VP1); P2 is processed to 2A, 2B, and 2C; while P3 cleavage products are 3A, 3B, 3C, and 3D. *In some picornavirus genera (e.g. Aphthovirus, Cardiovirus), a third viral protease exists, the leader protease (Lpro). It auto-cleaves itself from the polyprotein. Coronaviridae: CoVs possess the largest genome of all known RNA viruses. The 5’ genomic RNA carries a methylated cap. Two open-reading frames (ORFs), 1a and 1b, occupy the 5’-terminal two thirds of the CoV genome. ORF1a encodes the polyprotein 1a (Nsp1-11), while ORF1a plus ORF1b are translated into the polyprotein 1ab (Nsp1-16); this involves a (-1) ribosomal frameshift overreading the stop codon of ORF1a (indicated by the black arrow). The 3’-proximal third encodes the structural and accessory proteins. The polyproteins pp1a and pp1ab are processed by the viral proteases PLpro (within Nsp3; Nsp3 is purple) and Mpro (3CLpro, Nsp5). The genomes of members of the Flaviviridae differ between genera. Here, a genome of a member of the genus Flavivirus is shown as an example. The 5’-capped genome encodes a polyprotein, which is cleaved into three structural proteins as well as seven nonstructural proteins by host and viral proteases. Flaviviruses have only one protease, the NS2B/NS3pro. NS2B is a cofactor for the NS3 serine protease. (B) Structures of proteases of +ssRNA viruses. The fold of most +ssRNA-virus proteases belongs to either the chymotrypsin-like class or the papain-like class. The chymotrypsin fold consists of two β-barrel domains, while the typical papain-like fold contains an α-helical domain and a predominantly β-sheet domain. The catalytic residues are located in the cleft between the two domains in both chymotrypsin-like and papain-like proteases. Picornavirus 2Apro, 3Cpro, coronavirus 3CLpro (Mpro), HCV and pestivirus NS3/NS4Apro, as well as flavivirus NS2B/NS3pro, adopt the chymotrypsin-like fold, whereas picornavirus 3Cpro and coronavirus PLpro feature the papain-like fold. 1) The structure of enterovirus D68 3Cpro (PDB entry: 3ZV8). The Cα atoms of the catalytic triad Cys–His–Glu are shown as yellow, blue, and red spheres, respectively. 2) The structure of transmissible gastroenteritis virus (TGEV, a CoV) 3CLpro (PDB entry: 1LV0). Dimerization of the 3CLpro (Mpro) is a prerequisite for its activity. The two protomers are displayed in cyan and purple. The catalytic dyad Cys–His (Cα atoms shown as yellow and blue spheres) is located within the chymotrypsin-like subdomain of each monomer. An additional α-helical domain also exists in each protomer. 3) The structure of Zika virus NS2B/NS3pro [22] (PDB entry 5LC0). The NS3 protease is shown in purple and the NS2B cofactor is in cyan. The Cα atoms of the catalytic triad Ser–His–Asp are shown as yellow, blue, and red spheres, respectively. 4) The structure of MERS-CoV PLpro [26] (PDB entry 4P16). In the coronavirus PLpro, the β-sheet domain is larger than in the canonical papain-like fold and divided into two subdomains, fingers (purple) and palm (cyan); together with the thumb subdomain (α-helical domain; blue), an extended right-hand fold is the result. A ubiquitin-like (Ubl) domain (orange) is located in the N-terminal region of the PLpro. The Cα atoms of the catalytic triad residues Cys–His–Asp are shown as yellow, blue, and red spheres, respectively. All figures in (B) have been prepared by using UCSF Chimera [183].
The Coronaviridae family is divided into two subfamilies, Coronavirinae and Torovirinae [1]. Two recently emerged human coronaviruses from the subfamily Coronavirinae, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus (SARS-CoV) and MERS-CoV, can cause severe pneumonia. In particular, the latter virus frequently also leads to renal failure [5]. Coronaviruses are enveloped +ssRNA viruses and have the largest genome (26–32 kb) of all known RNA viruses. The 5'-terminal two-thirds of the genome contain the two open-reading frames (ORFs) 1a and 1b. ORF1a codes for polyprotein 1a containing nonstructural protein 1–11 (Nsp1–11), while ORF1a and ORF1b together encode polyprotein 1ab comprising Nsp1–16. This latter mechanism features a (-1) ribosomal frameshift overreading the stop codon of ORF1a (Fig. 1A) [6]. The 3'-proximal third encodes the structural and accessory proteins [7,8]. These two polyproteins are processed into 15 or 16 mature Nsp proteins to form the replication/transcription complex. This step is performed by two types of viral proteases, namely, one or two papain-like proteases (PLpro) located within Nsp3, and a main protease (Mpro) (Nsp5), which is frequently also called ‘3C-like protease’ (3CLpro) (Fig. 1A; see [9] for a review).

The family Flaviviridae includes four genera: Hepacivirus, Flavivirus, Pestivirus, and Pegivirus. Here, we discuss viral proteases from the former three genera. Since the genome organization and the proteases are different in these three genera of the Flaviviridae family, we will introduce them separately.

Viruses from the genus Hepacivirus are enveloped (+) ssRNA viruses. The best characterized member of this genus is hepatitis C virus (HCV). This virus can lead to acute and chronic hepatitis. About 71 million people have chronic hepatitis C infection worldwide (www.who.int, last accessed on August 16, 2017). The genome of HCV is about 9.6 kb in size and encodes a polyprotein precursor that is processed by host and two viral proteases to yield four structural (C, E1, E2, and p7) and six nonstructural proteins (NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B). These two viral proteases are the NS2 autoprotease (NS2pro) and the NS3/NS4A protease (NS3/NS4Apro) [10,11]. NS4A is a cofactor for the NS3 protease. In HCV, the latter enzyme is also called NS3/4Apro in many publications; however, we will use ‘NS3/NS4Apro’ in what follows, in order to be consistent with the NS2B/NS3pro notation in the flaviviruses.

Viruses of the genus Flavivirus are also enveloped (+) ssRNA viruses. Members of the genus include dengue virus (DENV), West Nile virus (WNV), yellow fever virus (YFV), tick-borne encephalitis virus (TBEV), Zika virus (ZIKV), etc. Flaviviruses are mainly transmitted by arthropods, such as mosquitoes or ticks. Many of the mosquito-borne family members are highly endemic in the tropics and subtropics, whereas TBEV is prevalent in Central and Eastern Europe. The ~11-kb genome of flaviviruses encodes a polyprotein that is cleaved into three structural (C, prM, and E) and seven nonstructural proteins (NS1, NS2A, NS2B, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, and NS5) by host and viral proteases (Fig. 1A). The genus Flavivirus only has one protease, NS2B/NS3pro [12].

Viruses of the genus Pestivirus mainly infect mammals, such as cattle and swine. Bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV) and classical swine fever virus (CSFV) belong to this genus. The genome of pestiviruses encodes a polyprotein that is processed by viral and host proteases into 12 mature proteins (Npro, C, Epro, E1, E2, p7, NS2, NS3, NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B). In this genus, three proteolytic enzymes, the N-terminal protease (Npro), the NS2pro, and the NS3/NS4Apro, have been identified [13–15].

Although the primary structures of proteases in these three (+)ssRNA virus families are very different from one another, the three-dimensional structures of most proteases belong to either the chymotrypsin-like fold or the papain-like fold (Fig. 1B). The typical chymotrypsin fold consists of two β-barrel domains, each containing six β-strands. The catalytic residues are located in the cleft between these two domains. Picornavirus 2Apro [16], 3Cpro [17–19], HCV NS3/NS4Apro [20], flavivirus NS2B/NS3pro [21,22], and pestivirus NS3/NS4Apro [23] adopt this fold; however, the former two enzymes are cysteine proteases, while the latter three are serine proteases. The coronavirus Mpro (3CLpro) also possesses a chymotrypsin-like fold, but with an additional α-helical domain; furthermore, dimerization of this cysteine protease is a prerequisite for its activity (Fig. 2B) [9,24]. However, the picornavirus Lpro [4,25] and the coronavirus PLpro [9,26,27] feature a papain-like fold. The canonical papain-like fold contains an α-helical and a predominantly β-sheet domain, with the active site located in the cleft between them. This is exactly what is found in the picornavirus Lpro [4,25], whereas in the coronavirus PLpro, the β-sheet domain is larger and further divided into two subdomains: fingers and palm. Together with the thumb subdomain (α-helical domain), they form an extended right-hand fold. In addition, a ubiquitin-like (Ubl) domain is located at the N terminus of the PLpro (Fig. 1B) [9,26,27].

The various pathways to interferon expression in the host innate immune system

Viral infection will trigger immune responses. The host immune system consists of innate and adaptive
Viral proteases antagonizing host immune responses

**Fig. 2.** Schematic overview of host innate immune pathways and their disruption by proteases of RNA viruses. The actions of viral proteases of three (+)ssRNA virus families, namely Picornaviridae, Coronaviridae, and Flaviviridae, are illustrated by triangle and square symbols. Triangles indicate cleavage of the target protein, while squares symbolize interaction with this protein in the absence of cleavage. Blue spheres indicate polyubiquitination. (A) The TLR (Toll-like receptor), RLR (retinoic acid-inducible gene-I (RIG-I)-like receptor), and NLR (nucleotide-binding oligomerization domain (NOD)-like receptor) signaling pathways. TLR7 detects ssRNA and triggers downstream signaling via the adaptor, MyD88 (myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88). Subsequently, MyD88 recruits IRAK4 (interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinase 4) to activate IRAK1/2, then IRAKs dissociate from MyD88 and bind to TNF receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6). TRAF6 activates TAK1 (TGF-β-activated kinase 1). TAK1 further recruits TAB1/2/3 (TAK1-binding protein 1/2/3), to activate IKKα/β (IκB kinase alpha, beta, and gamma); IKKα is also named NEMO). Then, the IKKs mediate the phosphorylation of IκB, the NF-κB inhibitor. Phosphorylated IκBα is degraded and releases NF-κB to induce production of TNFs (tumor necrosis factors) and other cytokines. This pathway thus comprises TLR7→MyD88→IRAK4/1-2→TRAF6→TAB1/2/3→IKKα/β→IκB→NF-κB. Also, TRAF6, IRAK4, TRAF3, IKKα, and IRAK1 form a complex. In this complex, both IKKα and IRAK1 activate the IRF7 (interferon regulatory factor 7) but not the IRF3 pathway (see red arrows). TLR3 detects dsRNA and triggers TRAF3 and TRAF6 by the mediator, TRIF (TIR domain-containing adaptor protein inducing IFNβ). TRAF3 activates the TBK1/IκKα (TANK-binding kinase 1/IκB kinase epsilon)-mediated IRF3/7 pathway. TANK [TANK (TIR family member-associated NF-κB activator)] and IKKα can activate TBK1/IκKα. TBK1/IκKα further stimulate the IRF3/7 pathway. In addition, STING (stimulator of interferon genes) can upregulate IRF3 signaling. The main cascade of this pathway thus comprises TLR3→TRIF→TRAF3→TBK1/IκKα→IRF3/7. TRAF6 and TRAF3 are tipped in blue to indicate that they are located at central positions of pathways. The downstream cascade of TRAF6 activating the NF-κB pathway is the same as for the TLR7 pathway. RLRs detect ssRNA/dsRNA and trigger the activation of TRAF3 and TRAF6 by the mediator, MAVS (mitochondrial antiviral-signaling protein; also known as IPS-1, Cardif, VISA). The downstream signaling pathway is the same as for the TLR3 pathway. NLRs include NLRP3 and NLRC2 (also named NOD2). NLRP3 does not directly bind the viral RNA. The viral ssRNA or dsRNA causes many intracellular changes (such as reactive oxygen species (ROS) formation and lysosomal maturation), NLRP3 is sensitive to these changes and forms oligomers interacting with ASC (apoptosis-associated speck-like protein) and procaspase-1, collectively called ‘inflammasome complex’. Subsequently, procaspase-1 is activated, thus leading to the maturation of pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18. NLRC2 directly interacts with ssRNA, then it recruits MAVS to activate the IRF3 pathway. Also, it can activate TRAF6 to stimulate the NF-κB pathway. (B) The JAK-STAT signaling pathway. IFNα or IFNβ are produced via the IFR3/7 pathway. They bind the IFNAR1/2 (interferon alpha/beta receptor 1/2), leading to the activation of TYK2 (tyrosine kinase 2) and JAK1 (Janus kinase 1). These kinases phosphorylate STAT1 (signal transducer and activator of transcription 1) and STAT2. Subsequently, the phosphorylated STAT1/2 interact with IRF9 to form ISGF3 (IFN-stimulated gene factor 3). This ternary complex enters the nucleus and promotes the expression of ISGs (interferon-stimulated genes), such as ISG15, to establish the antiviral status. ISG15 covalently binds target proteins (ISGylation). Coronavirus PLpro can remove ISG15 from ISGylated proteins. Other proteins and abbreviations in this figure: Riplet: an E3 ubiquitin ligase and upstream regulator of RIG-I; MFN: 1/2: mitochondrial fission; MFN1 and MFN2 regulate mitochondrial fusion; NEMO: a p53 degradation stimulator blocks the p53–IRF7–IFNβ signaling pathway; ADNP: activity-dependent neuroprotective protein, a transcription factor, can bind to IFNx promoter sites (IPS) upon induction by LPS; MC: mitochondrion; ER: endoplasmic reticulum.
immunity. The innate immune system is the first-line defense to counteract viral invasion. When viruses enter host cells or replicate in them, various pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs) of viruses will be detected by the corresponding pattern-recognition receptors (PRRs) of the host. Subsequently, PRRs stimulate the different innate immune signaling pathways to produce various antiviral cytokines, including type-I and type-III IFNs. Type-I IFNs include multiple IFNα subtypes and IFNβ, which are produced by virtually all cell types. However, plasmacytoid dendritic cells (pDCs) are the dominant producers of type-I IFNs [28]. Type-III IFNs comprise IFNλ1-4 and can also be produced by pDC cells [29]. Following their production, IFNs stimulate the antiviral response by binding to different receptors located on the surface of host cells. IFNα/β bind to interferon alpha/beta receptors 1 and 2 (IFNAR1 and 2) (Fig. 2) [30], while IFNλ interacts with interferon lambda receptor 1 and the interleukin 10 receptor subunit beta (IFNLR1 and IL10Rβ) [29]. Although the receptors are different between type-I and type-III IFNs, they both activate the JAK-STAT (Janus kinase 1-signal transducer and activator of transcription 1) pathway to-establish the antiviral state [29]. Thus, when activated as a consequence of the interaction of IFNα/β with IFNAR1/2, JAK1 and TYK2 (tyrosine kinase 2) phosphorylate STAT1 and STAT2. Subsequently, STAT1/2 interact with IFNAR1/2, while IFNAR1 interacts with interferon lambda receptor 1 and the interleukin 10 receptor subunit beta (IFNLR1 and IL10Rβ) [29]. Thus, when activated as a consequence of the interaction of IFNα/β with IFNAR1/2, JAK1 and TYK2 (tyrosine kinase 2) phosphorylate STAT1 and STAT2. Subsequently, STAT1/2 interact with IFNAR1/2, while IFNAR1 interacts with interferon lambda receptor 1 and the interleukin 10 receptor subunit beta (IFNLR1 and IL10Rβ) [29]. Thus, when activated as a consequence of the interaction of IFNα/β with IFNAR1/2, JAK1 and TYK2 (tyrosine kinase 2) phosphorylate STAT1 and STAT2. 

Toll-like receptors (TLRs)

TLRs are transmembrane glycoprotein receptors. Different TLRs can be localized to the surface of the cell or to intracellular endosomes as well as lysosomes; therefore, they can detect various pathogens outside and inside of host cells [37,38]. TLRs comprise three subdomains: the N-terminal PAMP-binding region (PBR), the middle transmembrane domain, and the C-terminal intracellular Toll/IL-R homology (TIR) domain. RIG-I and MDA5 comprise an N-terminal two-CARDs (caspase-recruiting domains) domain, the central helicase domain, and the C-terminal repressor domain (RD). The CARDs domain is absent in LGP2. NLRs have various domain architectures. They mainly contain three domains: the variable N-terminal effector-binding domain (EFB), the middle NACHT (domain existing in NAIP, CIITA, HET-E and TP-1) domain, and the C-terminal leucine-rich repeat (LRR) domain.

The TLR3 signaling pathway

When binding dsRNA, TLR3 dimerizes [38,40]. The C-terminal TIR domains of dimeric TLR3 interact with the adaptor TRIF (TIR domain-containing adapter protein-inducing IFNβ) [41]. TRIF, in turn, recruits Lys63-linked polyubiquitinated TRAF6 (TNF receptor-associated factor 6), thereby leading to the Lys63-linked polyubiquitination of TAK1 (TGF-β activated kinase 1); TAK1 further recruits TAB 1/2/3 (TAK1-binding protein 1/2/3), thus yielding the quaternary TAK1/TAB 1/2/TAB 3 complex, which activates IKKα/β/γ (IκB kinase alpha, beta and gamma; IKKγ is also known as NEMO, NF-κB essential modulator) [42–45]. Subsequently, the IKKs mediate the phosphorylation and degradation of the NF-
KB inhibitor, IkB. Without IkB binding, NF-κB will enter the nucleus and trigger the expression of inflammatory genes (Fig. 2A).

Also, TRIF can interact with TRAF3 modified by Lys63-linked polyubiquitination, in order to further recruit TBK1 (TANK-binding kinase 1) and IKKe (IkB kinase epsilon) [41,46]. IKKe (NEMO) is also involved in activating TBK1 and IKKe (Fig. 2A) [47]. The activated TBK1/IKKe will phosphorylate IRF3 [48,49], thereby inducing IRF3 dimerization and nuclear translocation to trigger type-I (mainly IFNβ) and type-III IFN production (Fig. 2A). Subsequently, the expression of IRF7 is upregulated. TBK1/IKKe also phosphorylates IRF7 [49], the activated IRF7 can stimulate the release of various IFNs (Fig. 2A).

The TLR7 signaling pathway

Upon PAMP binding, TLR7 recruits the adaptor MyD88 (myeloid differentiation primary response gene 88) [50]. Next, MyD88 forms a complex with interleukin-1 receptor-associated kinases (IRAks) (such as IRAK4, IRAK1, and IRAK2) [37,51]. Subsequently, IRAK4 activates IRAK1/2 and then IRAKs dissociate from upstream MyD88 and interact with downstream TRAF6 [51], further activating the NF-κB signaling pathway as described above (Fig. 2A). Meanwhile, multiple proteins, TRAF6, TRAF3, IRAK4, IRAK1, and IKKe can form a complex for signaling. When contacting this complex, IRF7 is phosphorylated by both IRAK1 and IKKe, thus activating the downstream signaling [37,51].

RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs)

Currently, RLRs comprise three cytosolic proteins: RIG-I [52], melanoma differentiation-associated antigen 5 (MDA5) [53], and ‘laboratory of genetics and physiology 2’ (LGP2) [54]. RIG-I and MDA5 each contain two N-terminal cysteine-aspartic protease (caspase)-recruiting subdomains (CARDs), a central DExD/H helicase domain, as well as the C-terminal repressor domain (RD) (Fig. 3). In contrast, LGP2 lacks the CARDs domain.

The helicase and RD domains of the RLRs are involved in recognizing the dsRNA of RNA viruses [52], while the CARD subdomains lead to intracellular signaling events [31,52]. Due to the absence of CARDs, LGP2 plays a role in regulating RIG-I and MDA5 signaling. Interestingly, LGP2 is a negative regulator of RIG-I signaling [54,55], while it acts a positive regulator facilitating MDA5 binding to viral RNA, thereby augmenting the MDA5 signaling pathway [56,57]. RIG-I preferentially binds short dsRNA (<1 kb), while MDA5 binds long dsRNA (>2 kb) [31]. Furthermore, RIG-I is critical for detecting paramyxoviruses, influenza virus, and Japanese encephalitis virus (JEV), whereas MDA5 recognizes mainly picornaviruses as well as HCV [58,59].

When RIG-I binds the PAMPs, the CARDs domain is exposed to interact with the CARD domain of MAVS (mitochondrial antiviral-signaling protein; also known as IPS-1, Cardif, VISA), which is localized to the membrane of mitochondria [60]. Also, MAVS forms oligomers via its CARD domain, a process that is necessary for downstream signaling [61]. At the same time, RIG-I binding to MAVS is promoted by ubiquitination through TRIM25 [62]. The RIG-I-MAVS interaction stimulates TRAF3 and TRAF6 [63,64]. Subsequently, the signals are transduced by the downstream complexes TBK1–IKKe and IKKe/β/γ to further induce the activation of the IRF3 and NF-κB pathways, respectively, similarly to the downstream signaling pathway of TLR3 mentioned above (Fig. 2A). Finally, these signals trigger the production of IFNs and other host cytokines.

NOD-like receptors (NLRs)

NOD-like receptors are cytosolic proteins. They are activated in response to PAMPs in the cytosol (Fig. 2A). The members of the NLR family have various domain architectures [65]. However, they contain three common subdomains: (a) the N-terminal effector-binding domain (EFB), for example, a CARD domain, a pyrin domain (PYD), or a baculovirus inhibitor of apoptosis protein repeat (BIR) domain; (b) the middle NACHT domain (exists in NAIP, CIITA, HET-E, and TP-1); (c) the C-terminal LRR domain (Fig. 3). According to the different N-terminal domains, the NLRs are divided into five families: NLRA (N-terminal acidic activation domain), NLRB (N-terminal BIR domain), NLRC (N-terminal CARD domain), NLRP (N-terminal PYD domain), and NLRX (N-terminal unknown domain) [65]. Here, we discuss two well-characterized NLRs, NLRP3 and NLRC2, that regulate host immune responses during viral infection.

NLRP3 is sensitive to infection by several (−) ssRNA and (+)ssRNA viruses, such as influenza A virus (IAV), vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV), and HCV [66–68]. When NLRP3 is activated by PAMPs derived from invasive viruses, oligomeric NLRP3s interact with ASC (apoptosis-associated speck-like protein) and procaspase-1 (Fig. 2A). They form an inflammasome complex, which results in the activation of caspase-1,
thus leading to the maturation of pro-IL-1β and pro-IL-18 (Fig. 2A) [36,66–68]. However, so far, there is no evidence for NLRP3 directly binding virus ssRNA or dsRNA. NLRP3 inflammasome activation relies on lysosomal maturation and the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) during IAV infection [66]; therefore, NLRP3 is likely activated by intracellular changes (such as lysosomal maturation and ROS formation) but not directly by interaction with PAMPS [33,36].

NLRC2 (alternatively named NOD2), as an intracellular innate immune sensor, recognizes bacterial MDP (muramyl dipeptide) to regulate the host immune response [69]. It also recognizes the RNA of several (−)ssRNA viruses, such as IAV, VSV, and respiratory syncytial virus (RSV) [70]. NLRC2 directly interacts with viral ssRNA and recruits MAVS to activate the IRF3 pathway, thereby releasing the type-I IFN, IFN-β with viral ssRNA and recruits MAVS to activate the syncytial virus (RSV) [71]. NLRC2 can also activate TRAF6 and stimulate the NF-κB pathway [71].

RNA-virus proteases interfering with the host innate immune response

Viral proteases are not only important for processing the polyproteins in (+)ssRNA viruses but are also involved in counteracting the host innate immune response. In this review, we focus on the viral proteases of three RNA virus families (Picornaviridae, Coronaviridae, and Flaviviridae) and discuss how they antagonize the host’s antiviral response.

The 2A and 3C proteases of Picornaviridae

Two viral proteases, the 2Apro and the 3Cpro, are required for processing the polyprotein in the viral life cycle [4]. However, several picornaviruses, such as Foot-and-Mouth disease virus (FMDV), have yet another protease, the Lpro [4]. Interestingly, hepatitis A virus (HAV) has exclusively the 3C protease [72], whereas the 2A protein is part of the virion [73]. Picornaviruses are detected by RLRs, mainly by MDA5, as well as by TLRs [74,75]. It is no surprise that picornaviruses have evolved efficient ways to inhibit the antiviral type-I IFN production. In particular, the 2Apro and the 3Cpro can disrupt the RLR- or TLR-mediated innate immune pathways. We will discuss further below how the Lpro counteracts the host innate immune response.

2Apro antagonizes the host innate immune response

The members of the genus Enterovirus of the Picornaviridae family have been well investigated regarding the mechanism of innate immunity disruption by the 2Apro [76–78]. The enteroviral 2Apro possesses a Cys–His–Asp catalytic triad [16]. It cleaves between P1 and P2, that is, the site between the capsid and nonstructural-protein precursors, which is an essential event in the enterovirus life cycle [4]. Few inhibitors of the enteroviral 2Apro, let alone an antiviral drug targeting this enzyme, have been described so far. The peptide LVLQTM was shown to antagonize enterovirus A71 (EV-A71) 2Apro through binding to its active site [79].

2Apro cleaves MDA5 and MAVS

The picornavirus 2Apro modulates the MDA5–MAVS-mediated antiviral pathway. The Coxsackievirus B3 (CVB3), poliovirus (PV), and EV-A71 2Apros were shown to cleave both MDA5 and MAVS, leading to inhibition of IFNβ and type-III IFN (IFNλ1-4) production (Fig. 2A) [76–78]. Feng et al. [77] concluded that enteroviruses use a common strategy to antagonize the host IFN response. Furthermore, these authors found that MDA5 and MAVS were degraded by a caspase-proteasome-independent pathway in CVB3-infected cells. In contrast, Barral et al. [80] reported that MDA5 was cleaved via the caspase-proteasome-dependent pathway in PV infection.

2Apro cleaves TRIF

TRIF is an important adaptor in the TLR3 pathway [41]. The protein level of TRIF is reduced in CVB3-infected cells due to the 3Cpro [81] (see below). In 2016, Lind et al. [78] found that CVB3 2Apro also cleaves TRIF (Fig. 2A), and further antagonizes type-I and type-III IFN production.

Does the 2Apro affect the JAK-STAT pathway?

EV-A71 infection leads to increased IFNβ levels but inhibits the transcription of ISGs in vivo [82]. Lu et al. [82] found that the 2Apro reduces IFNAR1 expression levels to impede the JAK-STAT pathway (Fig. 2B), thereby leading to a decreased production of ISGs. Furthermore, the protease activity of the 2Apro was essential for downregulating IFNAR1. However, Liu et al. [83] reported that EV-A71 infection did not alter IFNAR1 but instead JAK1 expression in vivo. Furthermore, overexpressing viral 2Apro (or 3Cpro) did not affect the JAK1 expression level. Conclusively, these authors demonstrated that the 2Apro does not act as an antagonist to the JAK-STAT pathway, although EV-A71 infection does affect this signaling pathway by inhibiting JAK1 expression [83]. Very recently, Wang
et al. reported that EV-A71 infection leads to degradation of karyopherin-α1 (KPNA1), a nuclear localization signal receptor for phosphorylated STAT1. Thus, STAT1 transport into the nucleus is blocked, thereby shutting off the JAK-STAT pathway [84]. Interestingly, these authors found that neither the 2Apro nor the 3Cpro is the culprit here; instead, it is caspase-3 activated by the virus infection that degrades KPNA1 [84]. However, it is still unclear whether 2Apro can affect the JAK-STAT pathway by other mechanisms or does not affect this signaling pathway at all.

Finally, the 2Apro can degrade PABP (poly(A)-binding protein) and eIF4G (eukaryotic initiation factor 4G) to shut down the host translation machinery [85–87], thereby globally inhibiting the production of antiviral host proteins.

**3Cpro antagonizes the host immune response**

The 3Cpro has either a catalytic Cys–His dyad or a Cys–His–Asp/Glu triad in different picornaviruses (Fig. 1B) [17–19]. The protease prefers to cleave between Gln and Gly (and sometimes, between Glu and Gly) [88]. Besides viral polyprotein processing, this protease also has an RNA-binding activity being essential for viral RNA replication [89]. Currently, Michael-acceptor compounds such as ruvinivir and SQ85 have been described as potent, broad-spectrum inhibitors of enterovirus 3C proteases [19,90,91].

**3Cpro modulates RIG-I, MDA5, and MAVS**

The picornavirus 3Cpro inhibits the RLR signaling pathway. EV-A71 3Cpro has been reported to bind the N-terminal CARDs of RIG-I without digesting RIG-I, thereby inhibiting the recruitment of MAVS and disrupting the type-I IFN response [92]. At variance with this report, Feng et al. [77] found that not only the EV-A71 enzyme but also the CVB3 and PV 3Cpro’s do cleave RIG-I in vivo. EMCV 3Cpro can cleave RIG-I in vitro [93]. A caspase-mediated degradation of RIG-I was also observed in EMCV-infected cells [93]. The exact mechanism of the RIG-I regulation by 3Cpro needs to be further investigated; in any case, the partly conflicting observations mentioned above indicate that RIG-I is an important target antagonized by the picornavirus 3Cpro.

Like RIG-I, MDA5 binds MAVS to activate downstream cascades of the innate immune system (see above). Lei et al. [92] found by co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) that EV-A71 3Cpro can bind MDA5 (Fig. 2A). Furthermore, Rui et al. [94] detected by co-IP that the CV-A16, CV-A6, or EV-D68 3Cpro’s can also bind MDA5, thus disrupting the MDA5–MAVS interaction. Interestingly, these 3Cpro’s do not digest MDA5 and a proteolytically inactive, mutated 3Cpro can also prevent MDA5 from activating IFN.

Differently, HAV can cleave MAVS through the 3ABC precursor protein [the 3A (a membrane anchor protein), 3B (VPg plus protease domain) but not through the mature 3Cpro alone [95]. Mukherjee et al. [81] demonstrated that MAVS was cleaved between Gln148 and Ala149 by the 3Cpro in CVB3-infected cells. Recently, the picornavirus Seneca Valley virus (SVV) 3Cpro was also shown to induce cleavage of MAVS at the same position, Gln148/Ala149 (∆; cleavage site) [96]. Therefore, both viruses suppress the antiviral IFN production through cleavage of MAVS.

**3Cpro cleaves TRIF**

The picornavirus 3Cpro can also interfere with the TLR3 pathway. As mentioned above, the C-terminal TIR domains of dimeric TLR3 interact with TRIF to stimulate the downstream IRF3 and NF-κB activities. CVB3 3Cpro was demonstrated to cleave TRIF (Fig. 2A), thereby blocking the downstream type-I IFN production in CVB3-infected cells [81]. In total, five cleavage sites in TRIF (Gln190, Gly191, Gln653, Ser664, Gln659, Ser660, Gln672, Ser673, and Gln702/Ala703) were found [81]. Furthermore, the 3Cpro of EV-A71 or EV-D68 can also cleave TRIF, leading to inactivation of the signaling along the IRF3 and NF-κB pathways in vivo [97,98]. However, the EV-A71 3Cpro processes only one site between Gln312 and Ser313, while the EV-D68 protease cleaves two sites (Gln312/Ser313, Gln653/Ser654) [97,98]. It seems that the different 3Cpro’s possess slightly different cleavage patterns on TRIF. Whether these subtleties are linked to any difference in biological response needs to be answered. Similarly to the 3ABC precursor digesting MAVS (see above), the HAV protease can also cleave TRIF in vivo [99], but the degradation appears to be exclusively performed by the 3CD (protease-polymerase precursor) protein, not by mature 3C protease alone. The two cleavage sites in TRIF are Gln190/Gly191 and Gln554/His555 [99]. The observation that some precursor proteins (such as HAV 3ABC, 3CD) seem to be involved in counteracting the host immune response should motivate further investigations into more protease precursors, instead of looking only into mature proteases.

**3Cpro cleaves IKKγ (also named NEMO)**

The FMDV 3Cpro can process the porcine IKKγ (also called NEMO) at the unusual cleavage site Gln384/Arg385, thereby removing the C-terminal zinc-
fingerprint domain of this protein [100] (these authors erroneously misnumbered Gln384 as Gln383), thus blocking the signaling pathways of NF-κB and IRF3. From the same group, Wang et al. [101] reported that mature HAV 3Cpro can cleave NEMO at position Gln304→Ala305, thereby antagonizing type-I IFN production. These authors also reported that the precursor proteins 3ABC or 3CD of HAV can also cleave NEMO but with less efficiency [101].

3Cpro cleaves TANK

TANK (TRAF family member-associated NF-κB activator), as a positive regulator, interacts with the TBK1/IKKε complex to enhance type-I IFN production [102]. However, the role of TANK in regulating the NF-κB pathway is a matter of debate. Chariot et al. [103] reported that TANK binds IKKγ (NEMO) and upregulates the NF-κB pathway. Blocking the TANK–IKKγ interaction by deleting the TANK-binding domain of IKKγ impairs NF-κB activation [103]. However, Lys63-linked polyubiquitination of TRAF6 is required for NF-κB activation [104]. Wang et al. [105] proposed that TANK acts as a negative regulator of the NF-κB pathway by inducing TRAF6 deubiquitination.

The EMCV 3Cpro can cleave TANK at two sites, Gln197→Ala198 and Gln291→Gly292 [106]. Huang et al. [106] reported that NF-κB signaling increased when TANK was processed by EMCV 3Cpro. According to Wang et al.’s proposal [105] (see above), the explanation might be that the degradation of TANK can release TANK–TRAF6-mediated NF-κB inhibition. From the same group, Huang et al. [107] reported that the EMCV 3Cpro can disrupt the TANK–TBK1–IKKε–IRF3 complex by cleaving TANK, thus decreasing type-I IFN production. It is of interest to further investigate the reason why the EMCV 3Cpro processes TANK to stimulate the NF-κB pathway but downregulate the IRF3 pathway. Very recently, Qian et al. [96] showed that the SVV 3Cpro processes TANK at two cleavage sites, Glu272→Phe273 and Gln291→Gly292. Whereas the former site is unusual because of the large P1’ residue, the latter is also cleaved by the EMCV 3Cpro, indicating that it may be a conserved target on TANK for different picornavirus 3Cpros.

3Cpro cleaves TAK1/TAB 1/TAB 2/TAB 3

The EV-A71 3Cpro uses another mechanism to deactivate the NF-κB pathway. As mentioned above, TAK1 can bind TAB 1 to form a complex [42]. This complex recruits TAB 2 and TAB 3, yielding the quaternary TAK1/TAB 1/TAB 2/TAB 3 [43,44]. The combination of TAK1 and TABs can activate IKKs (such as IKKα, IKKβ, and IKKγ) [45], thereby upregulating the NF-κB pathway. The EV-A71 3Cpro cleaves TAK1 (Gln360→Ser361), TAB 1 (Gln414→Gly415 and Gln451→Ser452), TAB 2 (Gln113→Ser114), and TAB 3 (Gln173→Gly174 and Gln343→Gly344) in vivo (Fig. 2A) [108]. Recently, the CV-A16, CV-A6, and EV-D68 3Cpros have also been shown to process TAK1 [94]. In summary, the enterovirus 3Cpro impairs NF-κB activation.

3Cpro cleaves IRFs

IRF7 stimulates type-I IFN production, such as IFNα, thereby activating the JAK-STAT pathway in adjacent cells [109]. The 3Cpro of EV-A71 can process IRF7 at a cleavage site between Gln189 and Ser190 in vitro and in vivo, while the 3Cpro of EV-D68 does so at two sites (Gln167→Ala168 and Gln180→Ser190) [110,111]. Furthermore, IRF9 interacts with STAT1 and STAT2 to form a complex, ISGF3 in the JAK-STAT pathway, thereby stimulating ISG production (Fig. 2B) [30,112]. The EV-A71 3Cpro can cleave IRF9 in EV-A71-infected cells as well as in an in vitro assay, resulting in reduced IFN signaling [113].

Finally, the 3Cpro can digest PABP to shut off host translation [114,115], thereby globally inhibiting the production of antiviral proteins.

Lpro interferes with the host innate immune response

So far, the roles of the Lpro have been well investigated for FMDV. Due to initiation at different AUG codons, two forms of the Lpro, Labpro and Lbpro, were discovered in FMDV [116]. The FMDV Lpro, a papain-like protease, contains a Cys–His catalytic dyad [25].

The FMDV Lpro can degrade p65/RelA, a subunit of NF-κB, to block the NF-κB activity [117]. de los Santos et al. [118] found that a putative SAP (SAF-ACINUS-PIAS) domain of the Lpro affects its subcellular localization, thus further mediating the degradation of p65/RelA. Also, the FMDV Lpro can decrease the IRF3 and IRF7 protein levels in vivo [119], but the corresponding mRNAs are apparently not affected.

Medina et al. [120] recently reported that the FMDV Lpro binds the host transcription factor ADNP (activity-dependent neuroprotective protein) in vitro and in vivo. In addition, these authors found that wild-type FMDV but not ΔLpro FMDV can induce ADNP to bind to IFNα promoter sites (IPS; Fig. 2A), thus disrupting the expression of IFN and ISGs [120].
Furthermore, the FMDV Lb\textsuperscript{pro} exhibits deubiquitinating activity [121]; it can remove Lys48- and Lys63-linked polyubiquitin \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo}. As mentioned above, the ubiquitination of several elements is essential in innate immune pathways, for example, of RIG-I, TRAF3, and TRAF6 [62,104,122]. Wang \textit{et al.} [121] reported that the FMDV Lb\textsuperscript{pro} can deubiquitinate RIG-I, TBK1, TRAF3, and TRAF6 in HEK293T cells overexpressing this protease, which leads to blocking type-I IFN production.

Like the 2A\textsuperscript{pro}, the L\textsuperscript{pro} can also cleave eIF4G to globally inhibit the translation of mRNAs coding for host antiviral proteins [25,87].

Many investigations have shown that the papain-like proteases of coronaviruses also possess DUB activity relevant for antagonizing the host innate immune response; these enzymes are discussed in the next paragraph.

**Papain-like proteases and 3C-like proteases of the Coronaviridae interfere with innate immunity**

Belonging to the family Coronaviridae, coronaviruses have one or two viral PL\textsuperscript{pro}s and one 3CL\textsuperscript{pro} (M\textsuperscript{pro}) [9]. The PL\textsuperscript{pro}s is (are) part of the nonstructural protein 3 (Nsp3) [123,124]. PL\textsuperscript{pro} is a cysteine protease with a catalytic triad Cys–His–Asp (Fig. 1B) [26]. The CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro} has proteolytic, deubiquitinating, and deISGylating (removal of ISG15 from target proteins) activities [26,27,125]; through the latter two, it can disrupt the host immune response. Thus far, only a few inhibitors targeting the PL\textsuperscript{pro} have been described. Báez-Santos \textit{et al.} [126] demonstrated that several naphthalene derivatives efficiently block the enzymatic activity of SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro}.

**PL\textsuperscript{pro} modulates the TLR7 pathway by deubiquitinating TRAF3 and TRAF6**

As mentioned above, TLR7 recognizes ssRNA [33,37]. In 2013, Li \textit{et al.} [127] showed that GU-rich ssRNA of SARS-CoV can be detected by TLR7.

The SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro} removes Lys63-linked ubiquitin (Ub) chains from TRAF3 and TRAF6 to reduce the TLR7-mediated immune signaling [128]. Furthermore, the SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro} cannot remove Lys48-linked Ub chains from TRAF3 and TRAF6 according to a western blot assay [128]. This is at variance with an \textit{in vitro} study that demonstrated that SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro} prefers to process Lys48- over Lys63-linked polyUb chains [129]. Perhaps, one might speculate that the target proteins, TRAF3 or TRAF6, affect the PL\textsuperscript{pro} DUB specificity.

In addition, TRAF3 and TRAF6 are two key components of the RLR pathways (Fig. 2A); therefore, we have reason to believe that PL\textsuperscript{pro} could also regulate RLR signaling by deubiquitinating these two targets.

**PL\textsuperscript{pro} modulates the STING–TRAF3–TBK1–IKKe complex**

STING (stimulator of IFN genes, also known as MITA, ERIS) is a protein of the endoplasmic-reticulum (ER) (Fig. 2A). It can activate the IRF3 pathway upon dimerization and ubiquitination as well as upon interaction with several partners, such as MAVS, TRAF3, TBK1, and IKKe [130,131]. The SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro}+TM (TM: transmembrane region of Nsp3; see Ref. [124] for a review) and Human Coronavirus-NL63 (HCoV-NL63) PL\textsuperscript{pro}+TM bind STING in a co-IP assay [132]. These two proteins inhibit the dimerization and ubiquitination of STING and disrupt STING interaction with other partners, thereby blocking STING-mediated IFN production. Interestingly, the enzymatic activity of SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro}+TM or HCoV-NL63 PL2\textsuperscript{pro}+TM is not required for modulating STING [132].

Furthermore, SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro}+TM can disrupt the STING–TRAF3–TBK1–IKKe complex by binding each of its components [133]. In addition, the SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro}+TM or PL\textsuperscript{pro} alone were also reported to bind IRF3 [133,134]. Meanwhile, SARS-CoV PL\textsuperscript{pro} reduces the ubiquitination of STING, TRAF3, and TBK1 [133]. Also, murine hepatitis virus A59 (MHV-A59) PL2\textsuperscript{pro} deubiquitinates TBK1 and binds TBK1 and IRF3 [135,136]. All these observations demonstrate that the PL\textsuperscript{pro} is heavily involved in regulating the IRF3 pathway.

**PL\textsuperscript{pro} blocks the p53–IRF7–IFNβ pathway**

The tumor suppressor protein p53 enhances the antiviral type-I IFN response [137]. In 2015, Yuan \textit{et al.} [138] found that p53 can upregulate the transcription of IRF7. HCoV-NL63 PL\textsuperscript{pro} deubiquitinates and stabilizes MDM2, a p53 degradation stimulator, thus causing p53 degradation and blocking the p53–IRF7–IFNβ signaling pathway (Fig. 2A) [138]. p53 also inhibits SARS-CoV replication [139]. Ma-Lauer \textit{et al.} [139] found that the PL\textsuperscript{pro}s of SARS-CoV and MERS-CoV as well as the two PL\textsuperscript{pro}s of HCoV NL63 can bind RCHY1, another p53-degradation stimulator. The SARS-CoV ‘unique domain’ (SUD) enhances the interaction between the PL\textsuperscript{pro} and RCHY1. This interaction increases the stability of RCHY1, thereby stimulating p53 degradation [139]. In conclusion, the coronavirus
PL\(^\text{pro}\) utilizes various ways to modulate p53 and further regulate host innate immunity responses.

**PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) blocks the NF-κB pathway**

Besides blocking the IRF3 pathway, Frieman *et al.* [140] reported that the SARS-CoV PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) stabilizes IkB\(\alpha\), an inhibitor of NF-κB, to modulate the NF-κB pathway. Further, these workers found that the HCoV-NL63 but not the MHV PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) can counteract the IRF3 and NF-κB pathways [140]. These observations indicate that the functions of the PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) could be specific for different CoVs.

Furthermore, Devaraj *et al.* [134] indicated that the protease activity of the SARS-CoV PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) is not required for blocking IFN\(\beta\) production. Frieman *et al.* [140] reported that the enzyme activity of SARS-CoV PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) is dispensable for IFN\(\beta\) production via the IRF3 pathway but not for TNF\(\alpha\) production through the NF-κB pathway. In 2010, Clementz *et al.* [141] also found that the catalytic activity of the HCoV-NL63 PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) is not responsible for blocking IFN\(\beta\) production. In contrast, the MHV PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) requires the enzymatic activity for blocking IFN\(\beta\) induction [136]. The exact relationship between the enzymatic activity of the PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) and IFN production is still a matter of debate today.

**Other roles of the PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) in counteracting host immunity**

The PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) has deISG15ylating activity (Fig. 2B) [125], leading to the downregulation of the host immune response. However, the detailed mechanism of this process is still not completely clear. In addition, autophagy could play a negative role in the host innate immune response [142]. Chen *et al.* [143] found that the PL\(^{\text{pro}}\)-TM of SARS-CoV induces incomplete autophagy by interacting with LC3 and Beclin1 (two key autophagy regulators), thus negatively regulating antiviral immunity. Knockdown of Beclin1 could partially reverse the effect of the PL\(^{\text{pro}}\) on innate immune signaling [143]. Therefore, these authors assume that the PL\(^{\text{pro}}\)-TM inducing autophagy could represent a new mechanism of antagonism to host innate immunity by coronaviruses.

**3CL\(^{\text{pro}}\) antagonizes host immune responses**

The 3CL\(^{\text{pro}}\) (M\(^{\text{pro}}\)), the other protease of coronaviruses, is also involved in counteracting the host innate immune response. A number of peptidic and peptidomimetic inhibitors carrying various warheads have been described to block the activity of the coronavirus M\(^{\text{pro}}\) ([144]; see Refs [9,145] for reviews).

The M\(^{\text{pro}}\)’s of two CoVs infecting pigs have recently been reported to antagonize the host immune response (Fig. 2) [146–148]. The M\(^{\text{pro}}\)’s of both porcine epidemic diarrhea virus (PEDV, a member of the genus *Alphacoronavirus*) and porcine deltacoronavirus (PDCoV) cleave porcine IKK\(\gamma\) (NEMO) at the identical site, Gln231-Val232 [146,147]. As mentioned above, NEMO is required for activating the NF-κB and IRF3 pathways. Therefore, the cleavage of IKK\(\gamma\) by PEDV and PDCoV M\(^{\text{pro}}\)’s abrogates NF-κB signaling and inhibits IFN\(\beta\) induction [146,147]. In addition, the M\(^{\text{pro}}\) of PDCoV can process porcine STAT2 at two sites, Gln685-Glu686 and Gln758-Ser759, to impair the JAK-STAT pathway [148], thereby reducing ISG production. It is interesting to investigate whether the human CoV M\(^{\text{pro}}\) exhibits similar activities to affect the host innate immune response.

**Proteases of Flaviviridae interfering with the innate immune response**

In the following paragraphs, we will discuss the proteases of the genera *Hepacivirus*, *Flavivirus*, and *Pestivirus* of the Flaviviridae family.

**HCV NS3/NS4A protease counteracts host innate immune pathways**

The best known member of the genus *Hepacivirus* is HCV. HCV produces two proteases, NS2\(^{\text{pro}}\) and NS3/NS4A\(^{\text{pro}}\) [10,11]. The autoprotease NS2\(^{\text{pro}}\) operates only on one cleavage site, between NS2 and NS3, while the other cleavage sites among the NS proteins of HCV are processed by the NS3/NS4A\(^{\text{pro}}\) [11]. Currently, the NS3/NS4A\(^{\text{pro}}\), but not the NS2\(^{\text{pro}}\), is reported to be related to counteracting host innate immune responses (Fig. 2A). The former enzyme features the catalytic triad Ser–His–Asp [20]. The cleavage site specificity of the NS3/NS4A\(^{\text{pro}}\) favors Cys or Thr in the P1 position, an acidic residue in the P6 position, and a residue with a small side-chain (Ala or Ser) in P1′, that is, D/E-XXXX-C/T-S/A for the P6-P1′ sequence [11]. NS4A is a cofactor for the NS3 protease. Several synthetic inhibitors of the HCV NS3/NS4A\(^{\text{pro}}\), such as simeprevir and paritaprevir (ABT-450), have helped to dramatically improve the therapy of liver disease caused by HCV [149,150].

HCV NS3/NS4A\(^{\text{pro}}\) cleaves MAVS

In 2005, RIG-I was shown to detect the 3′ UTR (untranslated region) of the HCV genome [151]. However, later Cao *et al.* [59] demonstrated that MDA5 plays a
major role in recognizing the 3' UTR of HCV while RIG-I seems to be less important. Subsequently, MDA5 (or RIG-I) binds MAVS, thereby activating the downstream IRF3 and NF-κB pathways. HCV NS3/NS4A protease cleaves MAVS (also named Cardif, as mentioned above; Fig. 2A) at the cleavage site Cys508→His509 [152], thereby disrupting the host immune response. The NS3/NS4A protease of GB virus B (GBV-B), from the same genus as HCV, cleaves MAVS at the same site as the HCV enzyme [153]. These authors further found that MAVS was released from the mitochondrial membrane to the cytosol due to this cleavage [153]. Because the location of MAVS on the mitochondrial membrane is essential for its functions, this observation could explain how MAVS fails to transmit the signal downstream after being processed by NS3/NS4A [152]. Furthermore, NS3/NS4A proteases from Hepaciviruses infecting other animals (such as monkeys, rodents, horses, and cows) can cleave their cognate MAVS proteins [154]. The cleavage of MAVS presents a common mechanism used by Hepaciviruses to regulate the host immune response.

NS3/NS4A protease cleaves Riplet (upstream regulator of RIG-I)

As mentioned above, RIG-I is ubiquitinated by TRIM25 for its activation [62]. Oshiumi et al. [155] reported that the protein Riplet (an E3 ubiquitin ligase) is a prerequisite for TRIM25 stimulation of RIG-I signaling. Knocking out Riplet abrogates the expression of type-I IFN in response to HCV RNA [155]. HCV NS3/NS4A protease cleaves at position Cys21→Ile22 in vitro, because the residues 16-EDDLGC-21 of Riplet are similar to the consensus cleavage motif D/E-XXX-X/C/T of HCV NS3/NS4A protease. As a consequence, RIG-I activation is abolished [155].

NS3/NS4A protease modulates TRIF of the TLR3 pathway

TLR3 is sensitive to the intermediate dsRNA of HCV replication [156]. Upon sensitization, its C-terminal TIR domain can interact with TRIF to stimulate the downstream cascades. HCV NS3/NS4A protease cleaves TRIF at the site Cys372→Ser373 in vitro and in vivo (HEK293 cells) to disrupt the TLR3 pathway [157]. In contrast to this observation, Dansako et al. [158] found that HCV NS3/NS4A cannot cleave TRIF in PH5CH8 (immortalized human hepatocytes), HeLa, and Huh-7-derived cells. The effects of the NS3/NS4A protease on TRIF need to be further investigated, in order to resolve this ambiguity.

NS3/NS4A protease modulates importin β1

Very recently, Gagné et al. [159] found that the HCV NS3/NS4A protease can cleave importin β1 (IMPβ1; Fig. 2A). IMPβ1, a nucleocytoplasmic transport receptor, transports proteins from the cytoplasm to the nucleus. The HCV NS3/NS4A protease triggers the degradation of IMPβ1 and inhibits or disrupts the nucleocytoplasmic trafficking of IRF3 as well as NF-κB p65, thus preventing the host immune response [159].

Flavivirus NS2B/NS3 proteases counteracting host innate immune pathways

Flaviviruses only have one protease, the NS2B/NS3 protease [12]. This enzyme comprises the N-terminal third of NS3 and the middle hydrophilic part of NS2B as a cofactor, together forming the NS2B/NS3 protease. Like HCV NS3/NS4A protease, the flavivirus NS2B/NS3 protease is a chymotrypsin-like serine protease with a catalytic Ser–His–Asp triad (Fig. 1B) [21,22]. The P1 and P2 positions at the NS2B/NS3 protease cleavage sites are conserved as basic residues, Lys or Arg. The NS2B/NS3 protease is an attractive antiviral target. This protease is also involved in counteracting host innate immune pathways (Fig. 2A). Currently, no approved drug is available that targets the flavivirus NS2B/NS3 protease. Several peptide aldehydes and peptide boronic-acid inhibitors have been described to inhibit the activity of the flavivirus NS2B/NS3 protease [22,160–162].

The NS2B/NS3 protease cleaves STING

As mentioned above, STING can upregulate IRF3 signaling (Fig. 2A) [130,131]. DENV NS2B/NS3 protease cleaves human STING at the site Arg94→Arg95/Gly96 in vivo and thereby inhibits induction of IFNβ [163,164]. However, this protease is unable to cleave RIG-I, TLR3, TBK1, IKKe, IRF3, and IRF7 [163]. Recently, Liu et al. [165] reported that the full-length DENV NS3 (including the C-terminal helicase), but not the NS2B, can be modified by Lys27-linked polyubiquitination when co-transfected with and NS3 in HEK 293T cells. The ubiquitinated NS3 facilitates recruitment of NS2B, that is, the formation of the NS2B/NS3 protease, thereby enhancing the cleavage of STING. Furthermore, these authors found that the ER protein SCAP (sterol regulatory element-binding protein (SREBP) cleavage-activating protein) can bind NS2B and inhibit polyubiquitination of NS3, thus disrupting the formation of NS2B/NS3 protease and the cleavage of STING [165].
The NS2B/NS3 protease cleaves two mitofusins (MFN1, MFN2)

MFN1 and MFN2 regulate the mitochondrial fusion; in particular, MFN1 is required for the RLR signaling pathway [166]. Yu et al. [167] demonstrated that the DENV NS2B/NS3 protease can cleave MFN1 (Arg539-Asn540:Ala541) and MFN2 (Arg563-Arg564:Ala565) in cells. Subsequently, the cleaved MFNs suppress mitochondrial fusion and disrupt IFN production. Interestingly, the homologous protease from JEV cannot cleave MFNs for unknown reasons [167]. It is worth investigating whether or not other flavivirus proteases modulate MFNs.

**Pestivirus N-terminal protease counteracts host immune responses**

As mentioned above, a total of three proteases – Npro, NS2pro, and NS3/NS4Apro – are encoded by the pestivirus genome. To our knowledge, only the Npro is reported to be involved in counteracting the host innate immune pathways (Fig. 2A); therefore, we will restrict ourselves to discussing this enzyme here. The pestivirus Npro is cleaved off the polyprotein by autolysis between C (the capsid protein) and the Npro [14]. It is a cysteine protease with a catalytic dyad Cys–His. The Npro adopts neither a chymotrypsin-like nor a papain-like fold. Instead, it features a unique ‘clam-like’ fold containing a catalytic protease domain and a zinc-binding domain [168]. After autocleavage, the Npro loses its cleavage capability by intramolecular auto-inhibition [168].

Npro binds IRF3 and IRF7

The Npros of CSFV and BVDV directly bind IRF3 and induce degradation of the factor by the host proteasome, thus interfering with IFN production [169–172]. According to point mutation experiments, both the protease domain and the zinc-binding domain are essential for Npro binding to IRF3 [168,173,174].

Furthermore, the CSFV Npro can also bind IRF7 and downregulate the IRF7 protein level in porcine DC cells, thus limiting type-I IFN production [175]. Also, Fiebach et al. [175] found that the zinc-binding domain but not the protease domain is required to bind IRF7.

Npro interacts with IκBα (NF-κB inhibitor)

The CSFV Npro binds IκBα in vitro and in vivo [176]. However, the enzyme does not affect the NF-κB activity [176]. The BVDV Npro cannot block the NF-κB pathway either [171]. The role of the Npro-IκBα interaction, thus, remains unclear for the time being.

**Conclusions**

The proteases of emerging or re-emerging (+)ssRNA viruses are always worth investigating, either as targets for direct antivirals disrupting polyprotein processing [9,162,177] or as important players in mounting the viral anti-IFN activity. In this review, we discussed the roles of viral proteases from the families Picornaviridae, Coronaviridae, and Flaviviridae in counteracting host innate immune responses. Among the different PRR-mediated signaling pathways, a large body of data is available for components of the RLR and TLR pathways being cleaved by viral proteases, but much less so for the NLR pathway (with the notable exception of MAVS in the NLRC2 pathway as a prominent target of viral proteases). A possible reason for this could be that at this time, many immunity-related functional roles of NLRs remain unclear. Another observation is that a multitude of reports exist on the proteolytic cleavage of components of the innate immune system by the picornaviral 2Apro and 3Cpro as well as the HCV NS3/NS4Apro, while proteolytic cleavage by the coronavirus proteases PLpro and 3CLpro (Mpro) seems to be comparatively rare. This may be due to more research having been performed on the picornaviruses and on HCV, or to the fact that the DUB activity of the coronavirus PLpro (as opposed to its proteolytic activity) is a very efficient player in counteracting the innate immune response. Furthermore, it should be remembered that CoVs feature many other proteins (such as ORF3b, ORF6, the nucleocapsid protein, the membrane protein, and the X domain in SARS-CoV; ORF4a, ORF4b, ORF5, and the membrane protein in MERS-CoV) that are involved in suppressing IFN production [124,178], so proteolytic cleavage of host immunity proteins is perhaps required to a lesser extent here. For picornaviruses and HCV on the other hand, there is only occasional reports on nonproteases, such as the picornaviral 2C and 3A proteins [179,180] as well as HCV NS5A and E2 [181,182], being involved in diminishing cytokine production.

In general, homologous proteases from the same family show common mechanisms in regulating host immunity pathways. For example, all 3Cpros from CVB3, EV-A71, and SSV cleave TRIF. However, occasionally homologous enzymes exhibit some unique characteristics; thus, the DENV but not the JEV NS2B/NS3 protease can cleave MFNs. All these findings not only contribute to our understanding of the host’s immune response to viral infection but can also...
help us discover broad-spectrum or specific antiviral drugs targeting viral proteases and their interaction with host signaling pathways.
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